



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/444,095 11/22/1999		SOFI M. IBRAHIM	ARMY-123	8187
30951 75	90 09/01/2004		EXAMINER	
NASH & TITUS, LLC			SISSON, BRADLEY L	
21402 UNISON RD MIDDLEBURG, VA 20117			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/444,095	IBRAHIM, SOFI M.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Bradley L. Sisson	1634	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 20 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

final re condit	fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this applica ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment whicl ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	n places the application in
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) [event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of t ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE 706.07(f).	he final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the formula 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the replace if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final reject patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ee. The appropriate extension fee under e final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. 🖾	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 August 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed with 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a)) $igotimes$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);
(b)) 🔀 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate issues for appeal; and/or	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d)) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3.	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a se canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	parate, timely filed amendment
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been consideration in condition for allowance because:	dered but does NOT place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY traised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	o issues which were newly
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed:	
	Claim(s) objected to:	
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>31-35 38 39 63 65-70</u> .	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) _ approved or b) _ disapproved by t	ne Examiner.
9.[Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10.	Other:	B. L. Lisson
		Bradley L. Sisson Primary Examiner

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/444,095

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The aspect of not further characterizing the elution buffer raises the issue of new matter as the specification has not been found to suggest using either acidic or alkaline elution buffers, which is now encompassed by the claims. Further, the specification has not been found to teach performing elution at any temperature. Aside from issues of new matter, the broadening of the claims would require further consideration and/or search.